

In Defence of the Sunnī Creed and Manhaj

The Ḥanafīs and Their Stance on Mawqūf Narrations of the Ṣaḥābah

PART 1

Compiled, Translated and Annotated
Abū Ḥibbān Malak
Abū Khuzaimah Anṣārī



Salafi Research Institute





© Copyright 2018 Salafi Research Institute

The Hanafis and Their Stance on Mawquf NARRATIONS OF THE SAHABAH

Compiled, Translated & Annotated Abū Ḥibbān Malak & Abū Khuzaimah Anṣārī

1st Edn. © [SRI] Salafi Research Institute Jumada al 'Ula 1439H / February 2018

All rights reserved No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, No known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, without prior Permission from the publishers or authors.













IN DEFENCE OF THE SUNNI CREED AND MANHAJ

The Hanafis and Their Stance on Mawqūf Narrations of the Şaḥābah

Compiled, Translated and Annotated Abū Hibbān Malak Abū Khuzaimah Imran Masoom Anṣārī

SALAFI RESEARCH INSTITUTE LONDON -BIRMINGHAM - LAHORE









'Audhu Billāhi min ash-Shayṭān al-Rajīm Bismillah al-Rahman al-Rahim

Alhamdullilahi Rabbil A'lamīn, Waṣalatu Wasalam Ala Rasūlillahil Karīm, Wa Ala Alihi Wa Ashabi Wa Man Tabiahum Bi-Ehsan Ila Yaum al-Din: Wa Ba'd All Praise belongs and is directed to the Rabb of everthing that exists, Praise and Salutations be upon His Final beloved Messenger, his revered family and his noble Companions and upon those who follow them in good until the end of times, To proceed

Introduction

This is a short paper that shall examine the principles of the Hanafis, more specifically, the Deobandis and Barelwis and their relationship with the divine hadīth of the Nabī ﷺ. The paper aims to discuss and comment on themes such as:

- The Hanafis and their stance on Mawquf narrations of the Ṣaḥābah.
- The Ḥanafīs and their attacks on the Ṣaḥābah.
- Ḥanafīs and the scathing attack on the rūwāt [narrators] of Bukhārī and Muslim. Why and to what end?
- The Hanafis and the Nabi
- Who criticised the narrations in Bukhārī and Muslim, Imām Nāṣir al-Dīn al-Albānī رحمه الله or the Ḥanafīs and why?











By no means does this paper seek to delve into the issues of 'Aqīdah or Figh in which the Hanafis, that is the Deobandis and Barelwis, have rejected the hadith due to their tahazzub (partisanship) and blind following of their Madhhab.

Nor does this paper consider the absurdities of the Hanafī-Deobandīs vis a vis their 'figh' which begins and ends with a disarray of fragmented opinions and verdicts which not only oppose the divine texts but also oppose the Salaf al-Sāliḥīn and their verdicts. This is something which can be examined by those who have the time and patience to sift through Hanafi texts collating the evidences.

To proceed:

For centuries the people of misguidance have been hurling abuse at the Ahl al-Hadīth and the Hanafīs are no exception to this. It's often been said by the Hanafis more specifically the Deobandi sect, that the Ahl al-Hadith are a group of people that reject the sayings and the aḥādīth of the Ṣaḥābah رضوان الله عليهم and in doing so they have resembled the Shīcah and people of misguidance.

Had the Hanafis uttered their saying so as to not give precedence to a saying of a Sahābī which was not in line with al-hadīth al-marfū^c from Rasūlullāh himself then this would be correct and in sync with position of the Ahl al-Hadīth, for the Ahl al-Hadīth do not permit blind following or clinging to the opinion of anyone in opposition to the sacred hadīth from Rasūlullāh **3.**

However, the Hanafis in their usual hypocritical way have alluded that such a position of the Ahl al-Ḥadīth is not only erroneous but also an attack on the Sahābah and the ahādīth.

It's interesting to note that the Deobandis are at the foremost in rejecting hadīth. In fact, for the Ḥanafī Madhhab, Sunnah and ḥadīth are seen as a secondary source equalled and level on par to giyas and opinion with the later







many times being given precedence over the blessed hadith, as shall be illustrated later on by the permission of Allāh \$\mathbb{\math creation completely.

In so far as hadīth is concerned, one can observe a plethora of evidence to substantiate the fact that, the Hanafi-Deobandis have not only rejected the mawqūf riwāyat, that is the traditions reported from the Saḥābah that have not reached the Nabī ﷺ, in fact they've gone further by criticising certain Saḥābah and even attacked the 'Adālah (عدالة) and Dabt (ضبط), which means the uprightness and the precision and preservation of 'ilm from the Sahābah, only because the riwayah of the Ṣaḥābah were in opposition to the Ḥanafī Madhhab or Ḥanafī analogy.

Therefore, it is in fact they, the Deobandis, who have resembled the Shi^cah in their position and not the Ahl al-Hadīth and this chapter aims to substantiate that. One may ask why start with the Hanafis and the Sahābah in this paper? The reply is due to the fact that the Deobandis are the most vocal of sects that hoodwinks the masses into believing that they are the defenders of the Şaḥābah and have the utmost love for them, of course the opposite is the reality.

In any event it is important to begin with the Ṣaḥābah as it is a principle of our 'Aqīdah that the entire understanding of our Dīn is based upon the understanding of the Ṣaḥābah as they learnt directly from the Nabī ﷺ and were thus the conveyors of truth and revelation to the ummah of the Nabī ﷺ. Simply put, if one attacks the Saḥābah, as the Deobandīs do, then the attack is one upon the very foundation and acceptance of al-Islām.











The Ḥanafīs and their stance on Mawqūf narrations of the Ṣaḥābah.

The beginning of the 'hoodwinking' process.

The Ḥanafīs accuse us that we disrespect the Ṣaḥābah by not taking the mawqūf riwāyah over and above the marfū^c riwāyah if it is in opposition to it. Then it should be noted that the Deobandīs hold a similar view in so far as only when it suits them. Some examples follow of the position that the Deobandīs present before the people which in essence may seem as them saying that they will not allow anyone, even a Ṣaḥābī to be followed if it is in opposition to the ḥadīth and actions of the Nabī .

Of course, the position they retort is pointless as in reality it is nothing short of a sinister plot but to attack the validity of the Ṣaḥābah, reject them, abuse them and do whatever it takes to safeguard the position of their Imām Abū Ḥanīfa (d.150 Ah) regardless of the riwāyāt of the Ṣaḥābah or Rasūlullāh 論 himself. This is the actual reality and position of the Deobandī sect and the Ṣaḥābah as will follow.

First, some examples of the 'official' doctrinal position espoused by the Deobandīs, which one may be fooled into thinking was written by Ahl al-Ḥadīth 'ulamā' rather than Deobandīs.

1. Sarfarāz Khān Ṣafdar Deobandī Ḥayātī (d. 1430 Ah)

He writes in his book, Rāh-e-Sunnat [رَاه سنت], page: 114, that:

"There is no doubt that the saying of a Ṣaḥābī specifically in this case, 'Abd Allāh ibn Masūd فضي أنه عنه is accepted and has weight to it, but when we look at'ulūm al-Ḥadīth then there is a difference between that which is marfū' (elevated to the Nabī عنه) to that which is mawqūf this cannot be put aside.









The station which is deserving of the narrations, which are marfū', cannot be given to the riwāyāt which only reach the Sahābah and are mawqūf even though they are authentic."

Comment: Do the Deobandīs therefore advocate no taqlīd of the Ṣaḥābah in the presence of divine texts from the Rasūlullāh :? If so, do they also advocate no taqlīd of Imām Abū Ḥanīfa رحمه الله or other than him who are lesser in status than the Ṣaḥābah in the presence of the divine texts? If not, then why? Is Abū Ḥanīfa رحمه الله greater mujtahid, faqīh or Imām than the Sahābah? Or, is Abū Hanīfa رحمه الله greater in trustworthiness than the Ṣaḥābah? Or, is Abū Ḥanīfa's رحمه الله Dabṭ and Adālah greater than the Sahābah?

Seeing as the 'authentic' mawquf riwayat cannot reach the status of al-hadith al-marfū^c riwāyāt then what is the status of the sayings of Imām Abū Hanīfa and the Hanafī Madhab which on the most part if not entirety are based رحمه الله upon no 'authentic' asānīd (أسانيد)[mostly disconnected and weak asānīd] going back to Abū Ḥanīfa رحمه الله, spurious analogy and just opinions of men far lesser than any single Sahābī? Can one stick blindly to the Hanafī Madhab in the presence of the sayings of the Ṣaḥābah and Nabī and what is the Islamic ruling upon this?

2. The Shaykh al-Hadith and the Shaykh al-Hind of the Deobandīs, Maḥmūd al-Hasan Deobandī, (d.1339 Ah)

He writes in his book, Tagārīr (تقارير) Shaykh al-Hind, page: 30, that:

"As for the actions of a Saḥābī then they are not binding upon us (they are not a hujjah)".

Shaykh Maḥmūd al-Ḥasan says in Taqārīr Shaykh al-Hind, page: 43, in relation to an issue he said:













"This is mainly a saying of a Saḥābī and therefore cannot be used as an evidence against the Hanafiyyah".

3. The father and Shaykh al-Ḥadīth of Dar al-ʿUlūm Deoband, 'Allāmah Anwar Shāh Kashmīrī (d.1325 Ah)

He writes in his book, Bayān-e-Muqaddīmah Marzā'ī al-Bahawalpur, page: 445, volume: 1, that

> "The saying of a Ṣaḥābī is not an dalīl for us in the way that the saying of the Nabī 🏙 is".

4. The Deobandi scholar and Imam which they refer to, Khalil Aḥmad Sahāranpūrī (d.1346 Ah)

He writes in his book which is an explanation of Abū Dāwūd Badhl al-Majhūd [بَذَلَ الْمَجْهُوْد] page: 39, volume: 5, he says in relation to an issue:

"And this is mainly a Madhab of a Ṣaḥābī which is not binding upon anybody".

Comment: As per the above comment section with the addition if the Madhab of a Şaḥābī is not binding upon anybody then how can the Madhab of Abū be binding upon anybody else?

He writes in another place in the same book, page: 38, volume: 5, that:

رضى الله عنه This is mainly the saying of Abū Huraīrah" therefore it is not an evidence to be followed".





5. The Imam of the Hanafi-Barelwis and the Deobandis Mulla 'Alī al-Qārī (d.1014 Ah)

He writes in his book, al-Mirgāt al-Mafātīḥ Sharḥ Mishkāt al-Maṣābīḥ, [مرقاة المفاتيح شرح مشكاة المصابيح], page: 549, volume: 2, that:

> "And this is the Madhab of a Ṣaḥābī which is not a hujjah upon us".

6. The Deobandī Imām of jurisprudence of modern times Zafar Aḥmad al-'Uthmānī Thānwī (d.1394 Ah)

He writes in his encyclopaedia of Figh I'la' al-Sunan, page: 438, volume: 1, that:

> "In comparison to al-hadīth al-marfū^c the saying of a Sahābī is not a hujjah."

Comment: all the unanswered questions from teh above comments in addition to what constitutes 'hujjah' an evidence to be followed in teh Hanafi Madhab and who decides upon this? Who decided upon Abū Ḥanīfa's رحمه الله every statement to be a 'hujjah' for his ardent blind followers other than those very same ardent mugallidin who were only blindly following in the first place due to their ignorance. In light of this, what legal value does the opinion of a people have who themselves are not worthy to have an opinion of any worth or value in the Islamic sciences?

7. The Deobandī Imām of modern times and ex-Chief Justice of Pakistan, Taqī 'Uthmānī

He writes in his book, Dars -e- Tirmidhī, page: 169, volume: 2, concerning a riwāyah from Abū Huraīrah رضى الله عنه he would pray the Qūnut in the Fajr ṣalāh. Whilst commenting on this Taqī 'Uthmānī says the following:











"This riwayah is mawquf and it is not a dalīl for us".

Taqī 'Uthmānī also in Dars -e- Tirmidhī, page: 319, volume: 1, that the Ḥanafī's have replied to this by saying:

> "This is not proven from the speech of Rasūlullāh 🎥 and that which is not proven from Rasūlullāh ﷺ then the saying or an action Ṣaḥābī is not sufficient to take".

Comment: simply put, the Deobandi claims the benchmark to follow in all affairs is Rasūlullāh ﷺ himself and not the Sahābī. How truthful he is in this assertion shall be seen below.

Also in another place Dars -e- Tirmidhī, page: 191, volume: 1 he says:

"Therefore, the answer which should be given in this is, that and رضى الله عنه this is the action and ijtihād of Ibn 'Umar رضى الله عنه there is no marfu^c hadīth related in this regards. Furthermore, the ijtihād of a Ṣaḥābī is not a ḥujjah especially when are other riwāyāt from the Şaḥābah are in opposition to it."

8. The Mufti from the current day Deobandis, Jamil Ahmad Nazīrī

He writes in his book, Rasūlullāh **Ka Tarīgah** e Namaz (The Methodology of Prayer of Rasūlullāh ﷺ), page: 259, he says:

> "Whilst it is acknowledged that some of the Ṣaḥābah who agreed and performed one Witr and riwayat are found in this regards, however this was their own ijtihād. Such ijtihād whilst it is against many marfū^c riwāyat from the Nabī ﷺ cannot be taken as a hujjah."







Comment: why are the Deobandis hypocritical enough to ferously attack the Ahl al-Ḥadīth who say that the saying of anyone, not even a Ṣaḥābī can be taken which is in opposition to the Nabī 2? Do they apply the same ruling to Abū Ḥanīfa's نحمه الله jijtihād as they do to the Ṣaḥābah? If so, then why not apply that not only to the ijtihādāt of Abū Ḥanīfa فرحمه الله but to all his Madhab?

9. Sarfarāz Khān Safdar

أَحْسَنُ الكَالام في] He writes in this book, Aḥsan al-Kalām fī Tark al-Qirāʾah Khalaf أَحْسَنُ الكَالام في تَرْكِ القِراءَة خَلْفَ], page: 142, volume: 2, that:

> concerningرضى الله عنه The hadīth of 'Ubādah ibn al-Ṣāmit رضى الله عنه xoncerning Fātihah behind the Imām, whether 'Ubādah understood it رضى الله عنه correctly or not there is no doubt that he 'Ubādah رضى الله عنه would pray the Fātihah behind the Imām and this was his position, and this is what his research led him to and this was his Madhab. However, the understanding of a Sahābī and a riwāyah that is mawqūf from a Ṣaḥābī is not a ḥujjah for us especially since it is in opposition to the Qur'ān, the authentic hadīth and the majority of the Sahābah".

In another place Sarfarāz Khān Şaftar, writes in his book, Khazā'in al-Sunan [خُزَائِن السنن] a commentary of Jāmī al-Tirmidhī, page: 64, volume: 3, that:

> "No doubt ${}^{c}\!\bar{A}^{j}$ ishah رضى الله عنها did not believe the dead had the ability to hear but we have read the Kalimah Shahādah of Rasūlullāh 🎬 and he has informed us that the dead can hear, so should we now follow the Nabī Muhammad 👺 or the Şaḥābī 'Ā'ishah?"

and his Madhab or have رحمه الله and his Madhab or have you read his kalimah? Do you agree that if one were to take the saying of someone in opposition to the prophet then this tantamount to reading a









kalimah of other than the Nabī ﷺ? What is the Islamic ruling for the one who does this action?

If only the ardent Hanafi-Deobandis and Barelwis could afford the same and Rasūlullāh ﷺ when it comes رضوان الله عليهم أجمعين to leaving their Madhab, when it contradicts a authentic hadith or a hadith from the Sahābah, they continue to blindly follow their Madhab".

10. Ashraf 'Alī Thānwī (d.1362 Ah) his khalīfah, 'Abd al-Mājid Darīabādī Deobandī (d.1397 Ah)

He writes in his book, Ḥakīm al-Ummat, page: 275, that:

"The Sahābah were not free from mistakes in their ijtihād even though it is accepted that the other pious scholars are less in station to the Sahābah."

One can see clearly that the Hanafi-Deobandis themselves and their forefathers have advocated that a saying of a Ṣaḥābī is to be left if it's in opposition to a marfū' hadīth of Rasūlullāh ﷺ, yet when the Ahl al-Ḥadīth past and present have made this claim, they have tried to fool the people into saying that the Ahl al-Hadīth are against the Saḥābah and the Ahl al-Hadīth are small or lesser Shī^cah's by not following the Ṣaḥābah.

Yet everybody knows that it is only the Ahl al-Hadīth who propagate the understanding of the Qur'an and Sunnah by the way of the Saḥābah as understood by the Salaf al-Ṣāliḥīn of this ummah who make taqlīd of nobody, whereas the Deobandis and Barelwis have clearly stuck to the sayings of their Imāms in opposition not only to the Ṣaḥābah but the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh ﷺ.

by the two weak slaves of Allah Abū Khuzaimah Anṣārī & Abū Ḥibbān Jumāda al-'Ula /January 2018





Birmingham

England





